PERFORMANCE PANEL

Tuesday, 7 November 2023

Attendance:

Councillors Horrill (Chairperson)

Bolton Pett Laming

Apologies for Absence:

Councillor Williams

Deputy Members:

Councillor Reach

Other members in attendance:

Councillors Cutler, Tod and Westwood

1. DETAILED REVIEW OF DRAFT Q2 FINANCE & PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT Items that were raised and responded to at the meeting.

- 1. Page 10. Retrofit-ready programme assessments.
- 2. Page 10. Barfield phase 2 car park charging point availability and communications.
- 3. Page 10. Renewable energy survey report timing.
- 4. Page 11. Boomtown event traffic concerns.
- 5. Page 15. Loft insulation and ventilation project status.
- 6. Page 15. Single-glazed door and window replacements in conservation areas.
- 7. Page 16. Decarbonisation review report timing.
- 8. Page 17. Delivery of schemes against the movement strategy.
- 9. Page 17. Transition to hydrotreated vegetable oil for bin lorries, carbon appraisal and concerns on vegetable oil prices.
- 10. Page 17. Movement Strategy and focus on keeping cars out of the city centre.
- 11. Page 19. Anti-social behaviour hotspots reductions.
- 12. Page 19. Shoplifting concern in city and district areas.
- 13. Page 19. Concerns about anti-social behaviour amongst council tenants.
- 14. Page 22. Secure bike parking locations.
- 15. Page 30. Funding application for interpretation boards.
- 16. Page 30. Meetings with Winchester University on creative industries.
- 17. Page 31. Marketing and communication for residents versus visitors.

- 18. Page 36. Capital spend. Halfway stage with a spend of £3m versus a budget of £10m.
- 19. Page 38. King George V Pavilion and St Giles Hill stabilisation.
- 20. Page 40. Underspend in repairs in the Housing Revenue Account.
- 21. Page 47. Solar panel energy generation and carbon intensity.
- 22. Page 49 Key Performance Indicator LW11 feedback on fly-tipping.
- 23. Page 49. Acknowledging graffiti incidents improvement.
- 24. Page 50. Key Performance Indicator HFA8 increase in void time.
- 25. Page 51. Clarification on Key Performance Indicator VLE2.
- 26. Page 51. Revenue spend with local suppliers.
- 27. Page 58. Preferred bidder evaluation status.
- 28. Page 66. Biomethane purchase carbon audit.
- 29. Page 70. Promoting electric vehicle charging points.
- 30. Page 77. Absence of a signed development agreement.
- 31. Page 78. Project schedule and realism of dates.
- 32. Page 82. Financial consequences of project delays.
- 33. Page 83. Use of property guardians.
- 34. Page 96. Local Plan and the possibility of having more drop-in sessions.
- 35. Page 100. Dyson Drive question expected in Quarter 3 meeting.
- 36. Page 108. Effectiveness of advanced stop lines for cyclists.

Items raised where officer follow-up requested. (responses shown in red)

- Page 8 Cost of Living Grant Expenditure: Clarify Trinity, student union etc expenditure. <u>HEP035 - cost of living programme.pdf</u> (winchester.gov.uk) = full report including the grant expenditure at para 2.6
- 2. Page 12 Typographical error "20923". Note: this has been corrected in the report before Scrutiny Committee dispatch. **Complete**
- Page 14 Re Photovoltaic Panels installation whether comparative performance data was available. This related to the take up of Solar Together across Hampshire. Here is the table:

	Registrations	Accepted	Installed
2023	784		
2022		344	117
2021	1079	343	343

Take-up of Solar Together Scheme in Winchester District

Figure showing take-up of Solar Together scheme across Hampshire.

		# Accepted	# Paid	% Paid	# Cancelled	% Cancelled	# Installed	% Installed
September 2022	Borough of Basingstoke and Deane	292	283	97%	88	30%	153	52%
	Borough of Eastleigh	229	222	97%	76	33%	95	41%
	Borough of Fareham	214	204	95%	56	26%	91	43%
	Borough of Gosport	62	60	97%	21	34%	10	16%
	Borough of Havant	112	110	98%	34	30%	38	34%
	Borough of Rushmoor	73	70	96%	22	30%	40	55%
	Borough of Test Valley	247	236	96%	73	30%	130	53%
	City of Winchester	344	328	95%	115	33%	117	34%
	East Hampshire District	301	293	97%	93	31%	23	8%
	Hampshire County Council	0	0		0		0	
	Hart District	238	226	95%	76	32%	121	51%
	New Forest District	259	250	97%	87	34%	94	36%
	Portsmouth (unitary)	12	10	83%	3	25%	2	17%
	Southampton (unitary)	70	65	93%	22	31%	26	37%
	Total	2,453	2,357	96%	766	31%	940	38%

- 4. Page 15 Clarify the number of pre-cast reinforced concrete homes. 88 homes
- 5. Page 26 Relocation Status Update: Update on the relocation of individuals, especially from Ukraine. Current numbers in the area, those moved elsewhere, and overall cumulative group status.

Current number still hosting = 93, that's 103 families and 169 individuals. (as of 23.11.2023)

How Ukrainians households have moved on is illustrated below:

Moved to another UK location	5
Moved to PRA	82 (according to our records, we have assisted with the deposits for 38 households)
Moved to social housing	22
Reached end of agreed	12
hosting period	
Rematched	43
Returned to Ukraine	24
Temporarily Moved	9
ТА	2
University	1

- 6. Page 36 Strategic Asset Purchase Scheme: Provide details of the scheme for Cllr Bolton. Email sent to Cllr Bolton with link to relevant Cabinet report.
- 7. Page 36 General Fund Revenue Summary: Split of higher-thanbudgeted income from garden waste and car parks and understanding

the contribution of each. \pounds 100K related to car parking income and \pounds 90K related to garden waste fees.

- 8. Page 48 Sport Leisure Park Board Feedback: Clarity requested on Key Performance Indicators LW4 & LW5 activities, i.e., additional information in the narrative for better understanding. Noted, an improved narrative will be included in the next quarterly report.
- 9. Page 52 Re Key Performance Indicator YSYV3 and clarification over the absence of a target. Feedback noted, will clarify/add in Q3 report.
- Page 62 Clarification as to why Meadowside recorded at 250% over budget. Cost estimates were in 2022 and project 2022 with a budget of £125k approved. Tenders current cost is £260k.

The increase has been driven by inflation and material/supply increases in that period. The tender period was extended a result of no responses to first round. Only two tenders were received. The tender was for combined Solar PV and ASHP installation which are different specialism and so to do both has come at a premium. We are assessing the business case to decide whether we can continue with this project; no decisions made as yet.

- 11. Page 72 Carbon Sequestration Timeline: Expected implementation date or milestones. This requires project work yet to be developed and will be reported in the appropriate quarterly report.
- 12. Page 82 Bus Station Solution Clarification requested.
- 13. Page 84 Local Plan and whether the Evidence Base was complete, i.e., the economic base and whether Gypsy and Traveller issues had been addressed. We are still working on the evidence base.
- 14. Page 108 Winchester Movement Strategy. Typographical error "model". Note this has been corrected to "modal" in the report before dispatch for Scrutiny Committee. **Complete**

2. NOTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF THE 21 AUGUST 2023

Officers were asked to review the notes of the previous meeting and respond to any outstanding points.

3. <u>SUMMARY OF ACTIONS ARISING FROM THIS MEETING</u> Items for referral to the Scrutiny Committee

1. General Review of Key Performance Indicators and Measures.

a. Recommend that the Performance Panel feed into an annual review of Key Performance Indicators, recognising that some may be only measures without the possibility of setting a status or target. Particularly recommend further review of Key Performance Indicators TC1, TC2, TC7 and TC8 as part of this review.

The meeting commenced at 4.00 pm and concluded at 5.30 pm

Chairperson